**Work in Government Accommodations**

1924. MS. SUSHILA TIRIYA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state.

(a) whether it is a fact that Government carries out additional work in Government accommodation on payment of ten per cent;

(b) if so, whether there is time schedule within which the work has to be carried out after payment of ten per cent; and

(c) if so, the details thereof and the action proposed to be taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) Yes, Sir. As per the existing policy guidelines, CPWD undertakes certain addition and alteration works in occupied Government accommodation on cost sharing basis.

(b) and (c) No fixed time schedule has been prescribed, as the time for completion of such works depends upon the nature and quantum of work and also on availability of funds.

**Inclusion of Tirupati under JNNURM cities**

1925. DR. K. KESHAVA RAO: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the most prominent and premier religious centre like Tirupati is not included in the JNNURM list of cities for infrastructure development;

(b) if so, the reasons for the same; and

(c) the action Government would take to include the same in the list?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. Decision to keep number of cities covered under the Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) around 60 was taken with the approval of Government. However, cities which are not covered under the JNNURM have been covered under another scheme of the Ministry, namely, Urban Infrastructure Development Scheme for Small and Medium Towns (UIDSSMT).

**Projects taken under JNNURM**

1926. DR. K. KESHAVA RAO: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the important major urban infrastructure projects taken up under JNNURM, with the respective outlays, State-wise;

(b) whether it is a fact that these projects, particularly the underground drainage/STP Flyovers, Mass transport system are not subjected to clearances, thus threatening the unimaginable environmental pollution hazards in future; and

(c) whether these projects are subjected to social audit?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) and (b) Detailed Project Reports (DPRs) are prepared by the

States as per the guidelines for the components admissible under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) (Sub-Mission for Urban Infrastructure Governance), and examined appropriately by technical appraisal agencies before the projects are considered for approval. Such appraisal agencies lay down conditions that all necessary clearances required from Ministry of Environment and Forests (MOEF), National Highways Authority of India (NHAI), Railways etc. have to be obtained prior to implementation of the projects.

State-wise list of approved projects with their approved cost is [*See* Appendix 212 Annexure No 4]. The indicative allocation at Annexure details enclosed as Statement (*See* below).

(c) City Volunteer Technical Corps (CVTC) has been envisaged uner JNNURM to ensure community participation. One of the functions of CVTC is to initiate social audit of JNNURM as a monitoring tool of the society.

***Statement***

*Indicative Allocation of Funds to the States/UTs under Urban Infrastructure and Governance (UIG) component of Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission - for the year 2007-2008.*

(Rs. in crore)

| Sl.No. | States/UTs | Indicative Allocation |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 189.03 |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | 0.81 |
| 3. | Assam | 19.05 |
| 4. | Bihar | 48.66 |
| 5. | Chhattisgarh | 16.28 |
| 6. | Goa | 2.30 |
| 7. | Gujarat | 228.67 |
| 8. | Haryana | 24.57 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 3.37 |
| 10. | J&K | 37.22 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 70.53 |
| 12. | Karnataka | 151.21 |
| 13. | Kerala | 52.22 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 107.63 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 556.11 |
| 16. | Manipur | 5.82 |
| 17. | Meghalaya | 6.23 |
| 18. | Mizoram | 5.30 |
| 19. | Nagaland | 1.79 |
| 20. | Orissa | 18.96 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|
| 21. | Punjab | 55.85 |
| 22. | Rajasthan | 65.86 |
| 23. | Sikkim | 0.67 |
| 24. | Tamil Nadu | 214.57 |
| 25. | Tripura | 4.42 |
| 26. | Uttar Pradesh | 233.14 |
| 27. | Uttarakhand | 22.59 |
| 28. | West Bengal | 332.02 |
| 29. | Delhi | 299.55 |
| 30. | Puducherry | 11.75 |
| 31. | Chandigarh | 18.80 |
| | TOTAL: | 2805.00 |

**Setting up of urban complexes**

1927. SHRI C. RAMACHANDRAIAH: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether his Ministry, keeping in view the long term development of the metropolis, whose infrastructure demands are increasing by the day, has now proposed to set up urban complexes in the country;

(b) if so, the number of complexes so far been proposed in the country and by when they would be fully developed;

(c) whether any complex is proposed to be developed in Andhra Pradesh;

(d) if so, the details thereof and the financial allocations earmarkd for the purpose; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN):

(a) to (e) The subject matter is within the purview of States. Decision regarding setting up of Urban Complexes is in State Domain.

**Allotment of land to certain institutions**

†1928. SHRI VINAY KATIYAR: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased ot state:

(a) the areas of land which has been allotted to social, religious and political institutions since 1950;

(b) whether such allotment of land has been done according to rule;

(c) if so, the details thereof and the institutions to which land has been allotted;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.